

**California High-Speed Rail Authority**



**RFP No.: HSR 14-32**

**Request for Proposals for Design-Build  
Services for Construction Package 4**

**Book IV, Part C.5  
Aesthetic Manual for Non-Station Structures**



## California High-Speed Rail Authority



# Aesthetics Manual

## for Non-Station Structures

RFP No. HSR 14-32 - INITIAL RELEASE - 05/27/2015

| Revision | Date      | Description     |
|----------|-----------|-----------------|
| 0        | 11 Mar 14 | Initial Release |
|          |           |                 |
|          |           |                 |
|          |           |                 |



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|            |  |           |
|------------|--|-----------|
| <b>1.0</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>                                 | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>1.1</b> | <b>PURPOSE OF AESTHETICS MANUAL .....</b>                | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>1.2</b> | <b>STATEMENT OF AESTHETIC PRINCIPLES.....</b>            | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>1.3</b> | <b>DEFINITION OF TERMS .....</b>                         | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>2.0</b> | <b>DEFINITION OF PURPOSE .....</b>                       | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>2.1</b> | <b>CHSTP DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS .....</b>                 | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>2.2</b> | <b>ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....</b>                   | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>3.0</b> | <b>ASSESSMENT AND INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS .....</b>   | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>3.1</b> | <b>HST AERIAL STRUCTURES .....</b>                       | <b>3</b>  |
| 3.1.1      | GENERAL.....   | 3         |
| 3.1.2      | AESTHETIC PRINCIPLES FOR HST AERIAL STRUCTURES .....     | 4         |
| 3.1.3      | SITE FACTORS .....                                       | 7         |
| 3.1.4      | HORIZONTAL COMPONENTS .....                              | 7         |
| 3.1.5      | VERTICAL COMPONENTS.....                                 | 12        |
| 3.1.6      | AESTHETIC DETAILING .....                                | 17        |
| 3.1.7      | SITE CONSIDERATIONS .....                                | 17        |
| 3.1.8      | SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....                                | 19        |
| <b>3.2</b> | <b>HST BRIDGES AND OVERPASSES .....</b>                  | <b>20</b> |
| 3.2.1      | GENERAL.....   | 20        |
| 3.2.2      | AESTHETIC PRINCIPLES FOR HST BRIDGES AND OVERPASSES..... | 21        |
| 3.2.3      | SITE FACTORS .....                                       | 24        |
| 3.2.4      | STRUCTURAL FACTORS .....                                 | 25        |
| 3.2.5      | FUNCTIONAL APPROACH.....                                 | 27        |
| 3.2.6      | ICONIC APPROACH .....                                    | 27        |
| 3.2.7      | HST PEDESTRIAN BRIDGES AND OVERPASSES .....              | 28        |
| <b>3.3</b> | <b>TUNNEL PORTALS AND VENTILATION STRUCTURES .....</b>   | <b>29</b> |
| 3.3.1      | GENERAL.....   | 29        |
| 3.3.2      | PORTAL COMPONENTS .....                                  | 29        |
| 3.3.3      | AESTHETIC PRINCIPLES FOR PORTAL DESIGN.....              | 30        |
| 3.3.4      | AESTHETIC PRINCIPLES FOR VENTILATION BUILDINGS .....     | 32        |
| <b>3.4</b> | <b>RETAINING WALLS.....</b>                              | <b>33</b> |
| 3.4.1      | GENERAL.....   | 33        |
| 3.4.2      | AESTHETIC PRINCIPLES FOR RETAINING WALLS.....            | 33        |
| 3.4.3      | WALL TREATMENT .....                                     | 34        |
| <b>3.5</b> | <b>ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....</b>                   | <b>36</b> |
| 3.5.1      | PURPOSE.....   | 36        |
| 3.5.2      | COLLABORATION .....                                      | 36        |
| 3.5.3      | AESTHETIC DESIGN OF NON-STATION STRUCTURES.....          | 36        |
| 3.5.4      | ENVIRONMENTAL PROCESS .....                              | 37        |
| 3.5.5      | DESIGN BUILD PROCUREMENT.....                            | 37        |
| 3.5.6      | AESTHETIC DESIGN REVIEW DURING DESIGN/BUILD .....        | 38        |



3.5.7 CAPITAL COSTS AND MAINTENANCE ..... 38

**TABLE 1: CAPITAL AND MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITIES: NON-STATION STRUCTURES.....39-40**



## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document provides aesthetic guidance for the planning, design, detailing, material selection and construction of structural elements including HST aerial structures, HST bridges and overpasses, tunnel portals and retaining walls. Design of these elements requires collaboration between planners, engineers, architects and community stakeholders in order to ensure consistently high aesthetic standards for structures.

Aesthetics is a discipline concerned with the visual quality of objects. It studies the ways in which people see and perceive the world around them, particularly with regard to the creation and appreciation of beauty. It is not an objective science; judgments of aesthetic value are relative and dependent upon “the eye of the beholder”; his or her sense of “taste”. Still, when a building or structure is viewed, it can display a character which is generally classifiable on a subjective scale of aesthetic quality, from low to high.

### 1.1 PURPOSE OF AESTHETICS MANUAL

This manual establishes principles to guide designers toward an appropriate level of aesthetic quality while satisfying the Project's functional and budgetary requirements. HST bridge and overpasses, HST aerial structures and other structural elements are not merely utilitarian components; their aesthetic quality must be considered early in design. Aesthetic character shall be integral to the concept and detailing of structures and not considered an afterthought.

The settings into which HST structures will be introduced are widely diverse, from high-density urban environments to unpopulated, rural settings. Therefore, structural aesthetics must be responsive to these settings with concern for human scale, building scale (present and future), and the vantage points from which the structures will be viewed.

This Manual is not intended to prescribe a particular design approach for structural elements. Rather, the manual allows for contextual design responses to site-specific or unique conditions (“context-sensitive solutions”) while ensuring the design objectives are satisfied.

### 1.2 STATEMENT OF AESTHETIC PRINCIPLES

The following aesthetic principles apply to CHSTP structures:

1. The design principles for stations shall be the foundation for aesthetic quality of non-station structures. These include:
  - **Design Excellence.** Pursue the highest visual quality.
  - **Sustainability.** Prioritize energy savings, social responsiveness and environmental friendliness.
  - **Art Integration.** Take opportunities to integrate artistic expression into structure.
  - **Cost Effectiveness.** Use performance standards and life-cycle costing to deliver value.
  - **Contribution to Communities.** Enhance local environments and community context.
  - **Preservation of Historic Structures of Value.** Preserve structures of lasting value.
2. Structures shall be conceived, designed, detailed and constructed with an emphasis on aesthetic character and visual harmony with the surrounding environment while satisfying primary functional and engineering requirements.
3. Civil works, systems elements, and station design shall be coordinated to ensure aesthetic consistency and continuity.
4. Aesthetic character shall be an integral element of structural design, rendering surface treatments unnecessary. Finishes should only be necessary where structural material requires protection, i.e., steel structures. Where steel is selected as the primary structural material, coating colors shall harmonize with adjacent structures and with the immediate setting.
5. Exposed pipes, ducts, cables, etc. shall be minimized. Where these elements are unavoidable, they shall be concealed in recesses or with coordinated covers.



6. Communities will coexist with CHSTP structures for generations and therefore should be partners in conceiving appropriate aesthetic character for these structures. As with stations, local jurisdictions shall be engaged early in the design process, per Technical Memorandum 200.07 Aesthetic Review Process for Non-Station Structures to establish an aesthetic vision for design of structures, including articulation of purpose, image, context and presence.

### 1.3 DEFINITION OF TERMS

#### Acronyms/Abbreviations

|           |  |
|-----------|--|
| Authority | California High-Speed Rail Authority                       |
| CEQA      | California Environmental Quality Act                       |
| CHSTP     | California High-Speed Train Project                        |
| CPTED     | Crime Prevention through Environmental Design              |
| EIR/EIS   | Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement |
| HSR       | High-Speed Rail  |
| HST       | High-Speed Train   |
| MSE       | Mechanically Stabilized Earth                              |
| OCS       | Overhead Contact System                                    |
| PMT       | Program Management Team                                    |
| ROW       | Right of Way   |
| TM        | Technical Memorandum                                       |

## 2.0 DEFINITION OF PURPOSE

### 2.1 CHSTP DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

This document provides aesthetic guidance for the planning, design, detailing, material selection and construction of structural elements including HST aerial structures, HST bridges and overpasses, tunnel portals and retaining walls. Design of these elements will require collaboration between planners, engineers, architects and community stakeholders in order to ensure that aesthetic standards for structures are met.

### 2.2 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Although the primary purpose of this document is to establish principles to guide visual design decisions for CHSTP structural elements regardless of location, this document also establishes state-wide roles and responsibilities to enable collaborative aesthetic decisions, guide project delivery, and establish public outreach to communities throughout the entire HSR system. Refer to Section 3.5 for detailed information.



## 3.0 ASSESSMENT AND INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS

### 3.1 HST AERIAL STRUCTURES

#### 3.1.1 General

##### Introduction

Aerial structures will be perhaps the most frequently viewed of all CHSTP structures, occurring throughout the high-speed train system. It is important that these long, continuous structures harmonize visually with local environments and adjacent infrastructure. Viewed from a distance, continuous HST aerial structures may be a dominant feature upon the landscape in relation to other major man-made and natural features. Viewed close-up, HST aerial structures must be sensitive to pedestrian scale. They shall therefore convey an attractive and harmonious composition of structure and architecture. Examples of HST aerial structures that display this harmonious composition are shown below.



Sandstone Colored Concrete



Natural Grey Concrete

#### **California High Speed Rail Aerial Structure Images**

##### HST Aerial Structure Defined


A HST railway aerial structure is a continuous elevated trackway consisting of efficient, moderate-length and consistently-spaced segments generally in accordance with industry standards spanning across obstacles such as roadways, railways, valleys, or water features. HST aerial structures may be characterized by their repetition, continuity and shorter spans whereas HST bridges and overpasses will likely be unique solutions to unique design conditions. Primary HST



aerial structure components include columns and girders. Secondary components may include straddle bents, pocket tracks, sound barriers, and the overhead contact system.



Spain HSR Aerial Structure



Taiwan HSR Aerial Structure

### 3.1.2 Aesthetic Principles for HST Aerial Structures

#### General

HST aerial structures shall exhibit appropriate scale, balance, symmetry, unity and proportion in aesthetic design, i.e., proportional relationships of height, width and thickness of structure should convey slenderness, strength and durability.

Aesthetic design of HST aerial structures shall fit with, contribute to, be harmonized with and sensitive to the surrounding visual landscape. Where HST aerial structures go through previously undeveloped locations, thoughtful aesthetic design of structures, topography and vegetative restoration shall be a high priority.

#### Consistency and Unity

- A. HST aerial structures shall present a high level of project-wide aesthetic consistency and unity within regional contexts and in accordance with local requirements. HST aerial structures shall be attractive, expressing elegance in efficient structural design. Combining aesthetic design of HST aerial structures, landscape and urban design can make a positive contribution to the surrounding visual context. Unity of design strives for regional consistency



- while allowing local variation in HST aerial structure appearance, such as at stations and station approaches, or to address unique community requirements.
- B. Consistent span lengths are desirable and shall be maintained as feasible in order to establish a visual rhythm. Distances between columns or piers shall be optimized structurally and standardized visually to the greatest extent possible with a typical span being approximately 100-120 feet.
  - C. Although span consistency is a system-wide aesthetic objective, resonant frequency must also be considered for long HST aerial structures. Span lengths for high-speed train structures generally cannot be constant over multiple spans for long distances due to harmonics, which can induce a resonance of the train and the structure and can affect structural performance and passenger comfort. This condition can typically be mitigated by including an odd span length to break the natural harmonics. A long HST aerial structure where typical spans are 100 feet should include two shorter 75 or 80 foot spans every 20 spans or as approved through the design process. The odd spans shall not be common divisors of the typical spans (such as a pair of 50 feet spans).



French HSR



Japanese HSR

#### Consistent Spans and Column Sizes



Inconsistent Spans: Spain HSR

- D. As tracks gradually ascend to clear existing structures, or as the level of the existing topography drops along the alignment, column size should not vary in cross sectional area within a series of spans until an appropriate landscape feature or HST structure (station, tunnel portal, abutment, etc.) provides an appropriate point of transition.
- E. Design and construction teams are required to coordinate points of design interfaces with adjacent contracts to ensure aesthetic consistency between design and construction segments. Significant changes in construction methods resulting in noticeable variations within a single series of HST aerial structure spans are not acceptable.



- F. A series of girder spans should maintain a consistent cross sectional profile, even when varying spans might permit structural differences in girder depths. Abrupt changes in structural member sizes or proportions of HST aerial structures are not acceptable.
- G. Quality control of concrete batches and formwork shall be closely monitored to ensure visual consistency of materials between segments.
- H. Particular attention in design shall be given to consistency of secondary elements visible from below, including soffits, finishes, drainage components and power/systems conduits.
- I. As appropriate, CHSTP concrete structures shall be identifiable by their use of consistent integral color. Three shades of color additive are acceptable concrete infrastructure colors.

#### Variability

HST aerial structure design shall strive for regional consistency, yet respond to and respect distinctive local context. Elements of aesthetic design variability between regions include span lengths, column height and shape, column capital vs. column flare, girder slope, overhang, taper, soffit, parapet height and sound wall. Similar to the station design process, there shall be a collaborative effort between designers and stakeholders to develop an acceptable aesthetic design and mitigate visual impacts to the community.



**Taiwan HSR: Aerial Structure Variability** (note varied columns, caps, girders, sound walls, etc)

#### Simplicity

HST aerial structures shall be visually simple and structurally efficient assemblies. Essential aesthetic quality shall originate from flowing line and proportion inherent in the primary structural elements.

Decorative elements, patterns, textures may be used on extensive flat surfaces to create shadow lines or to adjust or improve the perceived proportions of a HST aerial structure. Applied colors or textures that may require routine maintenance and/or replacement, are not allowed.

#### Construction Materials

Concrete structures are preferred over steel structures due to their cost, strength, low maintenance and inherent, almost limitless ability to be formed into shapes, curves, bevels, reveals and other architectural profiles. In some situations, steel elements may be preferred over concrete due to lighter weight and specific construction conditions, such as constructing over operating railroads.

#### Artwork

Consistent with federal, state and local policies promoting the integration of art into public buildings and places, artistic expression can be integrated into CHSTP structures when appropriate and feasible in accordance with Authority policy on public art.

### 3.1.3 Site Factors

#### Integration into Urban Context

While HST aerial structure structures introduced into urban areas must satisfy their primary engineering function, they shall also be designed with sensitivity to surrounding communities and businesses. Neighborhood character and local requirements shall be followed when developing aesthetic solutions. HST aerial structures and associated landscape and hardscape features should be judiciously placed, sized and detailed.

#### Sun and Shadow

Sun and shadow conditions will be altered by the introduction of HST aerial structures into the urban context. Portions of the community will be shaded by new structures. Consideration may be given to special spacing of HST aerial structure piers to reduce shadows at sensitive locations such as community gardens, parks, playgrounds. Where wider trackways are required, such as at station approaches, individual girders may be considered to allow sunlight between girder decks.

#### Preservation of Natural Environments

In some cases, the greatest contributor to the aesthetic character of HST structures will be the surrounding natural environment. Therefore HST aerial structures which pass through undeveloped environments shall be designed with sensitivity to this context. Preservation of natural character, habitat quality and migratory corridors shall be followed.

#### Utilization of Space Under HST Aerial Structures

Space underneath HST aerial structures located within the right-of-way can be designated for non-high-speed rail functions, per the Authority's policy on access control and air-rights. Uses must be consistent with restrictions related to HST operations, maintenance and security. Appropriate uses under HST aerial structures are identified in Program Management Team Memorandum, "Access Control for High-Speed Rail Right-of-Way and Facilities, dated June 28, 2013.

#### Community Involvement

After a HST aerial structure profile is established during the environmental planning process, communities will be invited to provide additional input on aesthetic design and/or use of public spaces underneath the HST aerial structure for public uses per the process laid out in TM 200.07, Aesthetic Review Process for Non-Station Structures, or per standard Authority outreach and engagement activities.

### 3.1.4 Horizontal Components

#### Trackway Height

Where it is necessary for a HST aerial structure to pass over existing roadways, railways or other infrastructure, consideration may be given to providing clearance in excess of the minimum required. Views to and from existing buildings or natural features should be considered and an optimum height established which considers human scale, views and a recognition that higher HST aerial structures will typically be less imposing and oppressive than low HST aerial structures when viewed from street or ground level.





Low Clearance: Rome



High Clearance: Taiwan

### Trackway Height

#### Trackway Consistency

Alignment of adjacent horizontal units shall be smooth and consistent. Where long sections of HST aerial structure are visible from a distance, straightness shall not be interrupted with abrupt changes in elevation or curvature.



Visual Inconsistency: Not Preferred



Visual Consistency: Preferred

### Dubai Metro Aerial Structure

#### Trackway Width


The width of trackway structure supported by columns is a function of the number of tracks required for operation, track spacing, walkway and cable tray widths, and space for OCS poles and/or sound barriers, if required. The overall width of the combined trackway components shall be restricted to the project standard minimum in order to reduce the visual impact, shadow casting, and required right-of-way. Where trackways widen at approaches to stations, storage tracks or crossovers, the same principle of reducing component width applies.

#### Box Girders


Box girders are the structural and aesthetic standard for high-speed rail infrastructure unless structural conditions preclude their use. Each box girder section will typically support two running tracks, communications conduits, emergency walkways, OCS poles, and parapet walls. Box girders can be assembled side by side when more than two tracks are required. A positive, upward camber is generally the preferred profile for the bottom of long box girders.

- A. Girder Shape: Box girder shape may significantly influence the perception of structural mass. Angling girder sides and soffits creates a deep shadow and reduces the perceived girder depth. Curving the girder sides and soffits eliminates sharp shadow lines and presents a softened appearance. The potential girder shapes illustrated below may be constructed in precast single spans, precast segmental or cast-in-place methods.








Trapezoid




Angled



Curved

**Potential HST Girder Shapes****Girder and Column Coordination**



Spain HSR Box Girder



France HSR Box Girder


### Box Girders

- B. Girder Soffits: The undersides of HST aerial structure girders and projecting cantilevers, viewed from below, are often the most visible portions of structure. Transitions from the soffit of the box girder to the tapered sides and from the sides to the cantilever shall be detailed to integrate a generous radius or transitional slope. Radiused or sloped corners, both inside and outside, shall provide a smooth transition between vertical and horizontal portions of the girders.

The quality and consistency of concrete finish at the soffits of box girder sections within stations, if girders are intended to remain exposed, shall be smooth and free of defects.



Taiwan HSR



Korea HSR

### Girder Soffits

#### Storage Tracks and Crossovers

Where storage tracks are required on HST aerial structures, transitions to wider girder sections shall be long and gradual. Crossovers in running tracks shall not create visual or aesthetic inconsistencies.

#### Straddle Bents

Straddle bents are used where columns cannot be located under the guide way, such as highway and railroad crossings. These shall be consistently detailed and aesthetically compatible with other standard HST aerial structure proportions, detailing and finishing. Dissimilar or unrelated structural detailing shall be not allowed.





Composite Bent: Not Preferred



Integrated Bent: Preferred

### Straddle Bents

#### HST Aerial Station Structures

At elevated stations, HST aerial structures will run continuously from station approaches through the station. The majority of intermediate HST elevated stations will likely be configured with platform stopping tracks and side platforms outboard of center through-tracks. As a result, the HST aerial structure can be considered a continuous structure through the station enclosed by an architectural envelope.



Shin-Aomori Station, Japan HSR

#### **Consistency of Station Structure with Approach Structures**

Station architecture and structures shall be coordinated using standard modular dimensions in order to provide a consistency and economy of station finish materials. It may be appropriate to adjust typical HST aerial structure column spacing, size, and profile within the station zone to allow interior columns within sight and touch of the public to be more suited to human scale. The feasibility of raising track profile slightly to allow adequate height for a mezzanine or to achieve a sense of volume within station public areas shall be considered by the designer.



Hsinchu HSR Station, Taiwan HSR



Shin-Tosu HSR Station, Japan HSR

#### **Aerial Structure Column Interface with Station**



HST aerial structure columns within station interior public areas shall be sized, shaped and located to avoid creating obstructions to passenger flow and with regard for aesthetic unity.



Hsinchu HSR Station, Taiwan HSR



Taichung HSR Station, Taiwan HSR

#### Aerial Structure Column Interior Placement

##### 3.1.5 Vertical Components

###### Columns/Piers


- A. Shape: Column cross sections for support of trackway HST aerial structures may be round, oblong, or as illustrated below. Within populated areas however, round or oblong columns are preferred to meet Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) safety recommendations and to optimize seismic detailing. Column design and detailing shall display consistency and uniformity in order to identify the structures as components of the CHSTP.



Octagon



Round



Radiused Rectangle



Extended Octagon



Ellipse




Extended Hexagon

#### Potential Column Cross Sections





Oblong piers, Taiwan HSR



Round Columns, Korea HSR

#### Column Shape

- B. Proportion: Column width and height shall be structurally optimized to accommodate existing site conditions and to economize materials use. However, there may be cases where the columns should be oversized in order to improve the proportion of height to thickness; that is, a tall column may be deliberately thickened in order to improve the proportion of thickness to height, thereby emphasizing its perceived strength.
- C. Multiple Columns: Single columns supporting a box girder, spaced at a consistent distance shall be designed in lieu of pairs of columns. Multiple columns are to be avoided and may not be used without approval by the Authority.
- D. Column Flare: Column profiles shall be flared out at the top for a more graceful transition to the girder.



Spain HSR



Meuse, France HSR

#### Flared piers

- E. Patterns: In urban areas, columns may be finished with cast-in patterns and textures that reflect local preferences and/or historical characteristics.





Phoenix Light Rail



Texas Highway

### **Patterns and Textures**

- F. Column Caps: Caps are not acceptable unless otherwise approved by the Authority. The preferred approach shall be to reduce the number of structural elements within the HST aerial structure unit to achieve clean visual lines and eliminate column caps as separate structural elements unless it is clearly demonstrated by the Contractor it is structurally infeasible.



G. Preferred: Flared columns, integral caps



Not Preferred: two piece column, block caps

### **Column Caps**

#### Sound Walls


Train noise may be required to be dampened by continuous vertical sound walls attached at the exterior edges of HST aerial structures or located along the right-of-way. The height of these walls shall be determined as part of mitigation in the environmental planning process. The height of the walls should balance a desire for passenger views with a requirement for noise mitigation. Although low walls are minor structural elements, they influence the overall appearance of the HST aerial structure assembly and shall be detailed consistently with other structural elements.

The shape of parapet walls shall be appropriate for the girder shape, with consistently spaced joints. Changes in wall shape shall only occur at major structural transitions (HST aerial structure to grade, HST aerial structure to tunnel portal, etc.).

Where sound mitigation necessitates high walls which obstruct passenger views, transparent noise barriers shall be used wherever possible, combining a metal framing system with glass or other transparent material.



Sound Wall/ Parapet for Angled Girder



Sound Wall/ Parapet for Curved Girder

**External Sound Wall/ Parapet**

Solid



Transparent

**External Sound Walls**

Sound walls can significantly influence the overall proportions of a section of HST aerial structure. The continuous vertical surface areas of sound barriers provide an opportunity to integrate aesthetic surface treatments where walls face urban areas.

Where sound walls occur near or within stations, integral patterns may be considered for inward-facing vertical surfaces for viewing by passengers on platforms.



Taiwan HSR



Korea HSR

**Internal Sound Walls**

### Security/Safety Barriers

Vertical barriers along trackways will be highly visible components and should present a simple but studied exterior and interior appearance. Typical safety barriers along running trackways shall be simple guardrails to satisfy statutory life safety requirements for railings. In areas where the safety barrier is a solid material such as concrete, the exterior (and interior where appropriate) surface may be detailed with integral patterns and textures similar to sound walls.



Korea HSR



Spain HSR

### **Security/Safety Barriers**

### Access/Egress Stairs

HST aerial structures may require stairs to provide emergency egress or maintenance access in accordance with criteria and applicable regulatory standards. These stairs will be visible in both rural and urban areas. Stairs in urban areas shall be designed as essential HST aerial structures first and second as opportunities for expression of functional sculpture. Access/egress stairs can also provide opportunities for expression of local identity and compatibility with the local context.

As potential access points to the trackway, unauthorized access to these stairs shall be prevented through use of fences and lockable gates which combine security with aesthetically appropriate fence design.

**Aerial Structure Stairs: Taiwan HSR**

### 3.1.6 Aesthetic Detailing


#### Purposes

Structures shall have integrate aesthetic detailing where exposed to public view. HST aerial structures are often repetitive and continuous structures and their aesthetic qualities may be accentuated by detailing with reveals, patterns, curves, chamfers, and accentuated joints to create shadow lines. This detailing may be vertical, horizontal, circumferential, and projected or recessed in order to convey a sense of depth and visual character.

#### Patterns and Shadow Lines

Vertical reveals or patterns are preferred over horizontal; vertical articulation will generally retain less dust and dirt and therefore will cause less staining resulting from rainfall.

Long, continuous vertical elements such as parapets and sound barriers are desirable locations for integration of patterns, reveals, or other aesthetic detailing. In urban locations close to stations, patterns or bas-reliefs may be integrated into vertical elements in order to satisfy art integration principles and requirements.



San Francisco BART: Wall Pattern and Line



Korea HSR: Sound Barriers

#### Concrete Pattern and Texture

#### Fencing

Fence context will heavily influence selection and detailing of fence materials; fences in highly visible, urban or aesthetically sensitive locations shall Utilize higher quality fence materials than low-visibility fencing in accordance with Program Management Team Memorandum, "Access Control for High-Speed Rail Right-of-Way and Facilities, dated June 28, 2013.

### 3.1.7 Site Considerations

#### Community Collaboration

Use of land underneath elevated HST structures will be determined collaboratively by the Authority and the local jurisdiction through which the structure passes. The standard approach to site use will be to reinstate the land to its use prior to HST construction. However, alternative uses may be considered based on technical and economic feasibility, including landscaping, parks, public artwork, roadways, parking, utility stations or other uses as deemed acceptable by the Authority in Joint Risk Assessment for Elevated Structures.



Public space beneath elevated structures should promote public safety and attractiveness, contributing to the aesthetic quality of the community/region. Pedestrian and bicycle access routes shall be maintained or reinstated.

#### Landscaping Beneath HST Aerial Structures

- A. General: Landscaping may be used to soften the presence of HST structures in urban and rural environments and reduce the apparent scale of elevated structures. Plantings selected shall be consistent with native and prevalent local species. Landscaping may be utilized to create visual interest for pedestrians and bicyclists. Drought-tolerant plants shall be selected. Landscaping may be a deterrent to graffiti on HST structures. Aesthetic design shall consider provision of adequate right-of-way for landscape screen plantings. Urban Areas: Plantings may be used in urban locations to visually integrate HST infrastructure into the local context and create visual unity in highly visible locations. Plantings can introduce color and texture and become a visual focus in the foreground to filter views of HST structures. Pocket parks may be introduced under HST aerial structures to create recreational opportunities. Rows of tall, closely-spaced street trees may also screen HST structures from public view in urban neighborhoods or where communities identify the need to visually soften the presence of concrete infrastructure.
- B. Rural Areas: Plantings in rural locations, including agricultural and open space shall be consistent with the natural setting per required mitigation measures into which HST structures are constructed.

#### Maintenance

Plantings shall be selected for low maintenance. Responsibility for maintenance of space beneath HST elevated structures will be as described in section 3.5.



Urban Landscaping: pocket park



Rural Landscaping

#### **Landscaping Beneath Aerial Structures**

#### Public Artwork

Consistent with federal, state and local policies promoting the integration of art into public buildings and places, artistic expression should be integrated into CHSTP structures where appropriate and feasible. Space where artwork can be included should be identified during the initial environmental planning and engineering work or through input from the community. Candidate locations for artwork shall have high potential for public visibility and public use. Artwork should enhance and reflect the unique character of the surrounding neighborhood or geographical region. Artwork may be freestanding or attached to HST facilities.

The Authority will engage with communities to establish a budget for public art appropriate for the HST project and consistent with state and national policies. Refer to section 3.5 Roles and Responsibilities for further information.



### 3.1.8 Systems Integration

#### OCS Supports

The overhead contact (catenary) system (OCS) will comprise a significant visual presence along the entire length of the CHST. Although OCS function mandates rigid geometric standards in relation to the HST vehicles, the steel OCS supports may be shaped or configured in a way which reduces or enhances their visual impact. Where station configuration allows, the OCS may be suspended from overhead station superstructure which will allow elimination of standard OCS poles along the platform length.

#### Conduit Integration

Where trackside power and communications cables enter the station zone or rise from ground level to HST aerial structure level, cables should be integrated into the structural system. Cables and ducts shall not be mounted to structural members without prior aesthetic planning. Exposed cable trays and duct banks shall be either recessed into structural members or covered with appropriately coordinated, removable cover plates with access panels.

#### Drainage Integration

Pipes functioning as drainage leaders for HST aerial structures and elevated station drains shall be integrated into structural members within urban areas. In urban areas, rainwater leaders shall be recessed from the surface of columns for concealment and to protect them from damage. Beyond urban areas, external rain leaders may be secured to the outside of columns only where they can be protected with a security cover and with prior approval of the Authority.



Surface Mounted Conduits: not acceptable

Recessed Drainage

### Integration of Utilities on Structures

#### Signage

Signage mounted to HST aerial structures will conform to the CHSTP Signage and Graphics standards, currently under development.

#### Lighting

In special cases, it may be appropriate to illuminate exterior surfaces of aerial structures, bridges, overpasses and/or columns within developed areas for a dramatic effect. Refer to the CHSTP Design Manual Chapter 17, Facility Power and Lighting Systems for applicable aesthetic guidelines.



## 3.2 HST BRIDGES AND OVERPASSES

### 3.2.1 General

#### Introduction

Bridges and overpasses have symbolic as well as functional importance. Bridges and overpasses connect places, communities and people. A bridge or an overpass has the potential to be a more significant object than simply a utilitarian connector between two points. Unique and creative bridge and overpass forms have the potential to stir the imagination and render the landscape even more inspiring than its natural state.


This section sets forth the fundamental aesthetic concepts of HST bridge and overpass design.




Sydney



Natchez Trace, Tennessee



Big Sur, California



Cologne, Germany

#### Inspirational Bridge and Overpass Designs

##### HST Bridge and Overpass Purposes

HST bridges and overpasses will be needed throughout the HST system to carry high-speed trains as well as conventional railroads, highway vehicles and pedestrians across a diversity of geographical and topographical conditions. HST bridges and overpasses should visually exemplify the efficiency, strength, permanence and beauty which characterize the Project.

##### HST Bridge and Overpass Functions

In contrast to HST aerial structures, HST bridges and overpasses will typically be required where shorter, standardized HST aerial structure spans are not feasible. HST bridges will be required where high-speed trains cross waterways. HST overpasses will cross canyons, highways, railways or grade separations. HST bridges and overpasses are physical connectors with potential to become urban or rural landmarks.



### 3.2.2 Aesthetic Principles for HST Bridges and Overpasses

While there are no absolute rules by which the visual impact of HST bridges and overpasses can be evaluated, the following principles, derived from successful bridge and overpass solutions elsewhere, should be followed in the design of HST bridges and overpasses.

#### Simplicity

HST bridge and overpass forms shall utilize contemporary technology to emphasize simplicity, lightness and gracefulness.



Yangtze River, China

#### Linearity

HST bridge and overpass appearance will generally be optimized when its horizontal lines are extended as far as possible. Extending guard rails, parapet walls or barriers beyond the face of abutments accentuates linear character and helps visually anchor the HST bridge or overpass to the ground. Cantilevered decks, railings, and parapets can create strong shadow lines to reinforce the horizontal lines of the HST bridge or overpass.

#### Proportion

All HST bridge and overpass types shall exhibit principles of correct proportion, i.e., the relationship of height, width and thickness, to convey slenderness and strength.

#### Variability and Commonality

HST bridges and overpasses will be built in widely diverse locations and will span across a wide variety of conditions, from urban to rural, high to low visibility, in residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, and natural settings. Context-sensitive design solutions are encouraged in a collaborative process with local stakeholders. Common attributes will include quality, longevity, life cycle value and low maintenance.

#### Public Artwork

Consistent with federal, state and local policies promoting the integration of art into public buildings and places, artistic expression should be integrated into CHSTP structures when appropriate and feasible. Refer to section 3.5 Roles and Responsibilities for further information,

#### Cultural Context

In some cases, HST bridge and overpass design may respond directly and literally to the unique cultural or architectural context into which it is to be built, reflecting intrinsic values, culture or tradition.



Kanchanapisek Bridge Thailand



Esfahan, Iran

### Cultural Context

#### Natural Context

Indigenous materials may be considered in HST bridge and overpass design where a specific contextually sensitive environment such as mountains, canyons or waterways renders conventional materials inappropriate. Approaches, abutments or other transitional structures may consider use of local materials.



Glen Canyon, Arizona



Pont du Gard, France

### Natural Context

#### Viewpoints

HST bridge and overpass design should take advantage of potential public viewing opportunities whenever they occur. As locations for landmark structures are identified by a community, HST bridges and overpasses should be developed to be integrated into the natural landscape or accentuate a major urban feature such as a gateway into a city center.

### Lighting

Where justifiable by potential views and public interaction, HST bridge and overpass aesthetics may be accentuated with the use of accent lighting. Selection of energy-efficient lighting systems is a critical requirement in the decision to illuminate HST bridge and overpass exteriors. Light pollution shall be eliminated through the use of cut-off fixtures around light sources.



Tower Bridge, London



Roosevelt Bridge, Stuart, Florida

### **Bridge and Overpass Lighting**

#### Maintainability and Finish Materials

Maintenance, durability and longevity of structures are primary concerns. Reinforced concrete requiring no finish treatment and minimal maintenance is the preferred structural and finish solution. Integral color may be considered where raw grey concrete color is not appropriate in the surrounding context. If steel is determined to be the preferable superstructure material, surfaces shall be protected with a high-performance, long-lasting coating in a context-sensitive color.

#### Interfaces with Other Structures

Special site conditions or irregular spans within a HST aerial structure section may necessitate a special HST bridge or overpass section. This interface between HST bridge or overpass and HST aerial structure or trackway shall clearly emphasize the transition to a special structure.

Where HST bridges and overpasses abut an at-grade section of trainway, the transition shall be simple and graceful using a contextually appropriate material and finish.



Cologne-Rhine, Germany



Mosel, Germany

### **Bridge and Aerial Structure Transitions**



### 3.2.3 Site Factors

#### Urban Sites

Site conditions, context and span are primary determinants of aesthetic treatment of an HST bridge or overpass. Future land use must be considered; a HST bridge or overpass crossing industrial land today may cross over a housing development in the future. Urban character will change over time; HST bridges and overpasses must therefore exhibit simple and timeless aesthetics.

Public exposure must always be a factor in aesthetic decisions. An HST bridge or overpass spanning a railroad switching yard may be seldom seen by the public, while a bridge or overpass spanning a public highway will be viewed countless times every day. High visibility warrants special designs with aesthetic treatments as discussed herein.



Tsing Ma Bridge, Hong Kong



Overpass in San Diego

#### **Urban Bridges and Overpasses**

#### Space Under HST Bridges and Overpasses

Space beneath HST bridges and overpasses provides opportunities for development of parks and other public spaces. Where adequate height would allow sunlight to penetrate under an HST bridge or overpass, consideration should be given to developing public space beneath it.



Park under Urban Bridge, Sydney



Cathedral Park Bridge, Portland

#### **Space under Bridges and Overpasses**

#### Rural Sites


A remote bridge or overpass in a rural setting may be viewed less frequently than an urban highway span. However, remoteness does not relieve the need for aesthetic care. In fact, a rural context often renders the aesthetic character of a bridge or overpass even more critical due to the



importance of complementing its natural setting. HST bridge and overpass components shall conform to the natural topography.



Suburban Minneapolis



Glacier Park, Montana

### Rural Bridges

#### Abutments

The hard, straight lines of a HST bridge and overpass structure shall transition gently into the soft lines of the natural terrain of the countryside. Abutments are best left open and sloped to meet the underside of the girders.



Natural Abutment, Spain HSR



Retained Abutment, France HSR

#### **Abutments**

#### **3.2.4 Structural Factors**

##### HST Bridge and Overpass Superstructure: Types and Materials

Selection of bridge or overpass superstructure is highly dependent on location, span, design loads and purpose. The superstructure form selected will establish the overall aesthetic character of the HST bridge or overpass. Superstructure may be constructed of reinforced concrete or steel. Generally, lighter, thinner structural members will result in a more pleasing appearance. Depth of structural members is highly dependent upon span length; in order to lighten horizontal members, spans may be shortened and columns increased.



Representative HST bridge and overpass types include the following:




Concrete Arch, Cologne-Rhine, Germany



Steel Girder, Mosel, Germany



Steel Deck Arch, Korea HSR



Steel Truss, New York



Steel Thru-Arch, Cologne, Germany



Through-Arch, Bonpas, French TGV

## HST Bridge and Overpass Types

### Substructure

HST bridge and overpass substructures may be as visible as the superstructure. As the examples above illustrate, a visually successful bridge or overpass integrates visible substructure and superstructure elements into a cohesive design.

### Seismic Considerations

California's structural design standards often result in bridges and overpasses with robust individual members and a stout presence. When a structural member appears to be out of proportion compared to other members, bridge and overpass proportions shall be carefully adjusted.



### **3.2.5 Functional Approach**

#### General Principles

A typical HST bridge or overpass shall employ conventional engineering methods. Type and material selected shall be appropriate for the location and purpose without necessitating a specialized or unorthodox approach.

#### Aesthetic Principles

HST bridges and overpasses shall satisfy the Project's design excellence goals, communicated in this Manual. Designs shall integrate aesthetic character together with resolution of each structure's unique functional requirements (span, column size and placement, optimal structural type). Simplicity and the design objectives described in this manual should be the primary aesthetic drivers.

#### Context

The importance of aesthetics relative to functionality shall be dependent upon the visibility of the HST bridge or overpass. Individual communities may opt for greater participation in aesthetic selections combined with financial participation. Refer to section 3.5.3 of this Manual.

### **3.2.6 Iconic Approach**

#### General Iconic Characteristics

As an alternative to functional design, local agencies desiring a more dynamic and impactful HST bridge or overpass presence may choose to work with the Authority to develop an iconic design. Iconic HST bridges and overpasses shall satisfy HST functional requirements but with a greater emphasis upon unique aesthetics. Iconic character is not necessarily a response to a particular urban location or a highly-visible context; any HST bridge or overpass may be considered for iconic design. An iconic HST bridge or overpass may be characterized by a sense of prominence in the environment, structural innovation, aesthetic expressiveness, organic or curvilinear form, unique detailing and/or rich materials.

#### Specific Iconic Attributes:

- **Innovation.** Iconic bridges and overpasses may provide unique, innovative and creative solutions to challenging spans or settings. They are originals, not merely copies of other bridges and overpasses. They may push or break the limits of engineering ingenuity and may be constructed using advanced materials and techniques.
- **Beauty.** The beauty of an iconic bridge or overpass can leave a lasting impression upon the viewer through the creative use of form, line and color.
- **Harmony.** An iconic bridge or overpass appears to have been custom-designed for its unique surroundings. It harmonizes with and complements its surroundings.
- **Clarity.** An iconic bridge or overpass generally expresses how it functions. Its structural behavior is generally simple and understandable to the public.
- **Recognition.** An iconic bridge or overpass may become a landmark, easily recognizable by the general public, symbolizing the people or community for whom it was built.





Alamillo Bridge, Spain



Da Vinci Bridge, Norway



Kubitschek Bridge, Brasilia, Brazil

### Iconic Bridges

#### 3.2.7 HST Pedestrian Bridges and Overpasses

##### General

HST pedestrian bridges and overpasses will be provided throughout the CHST for the use of rail passengers, urban pedestrians, rural walkers and bicyclists.

##### Context

Pedestrian bridges and overpasses connecting to stations shall be integrated into the station design. In urban contexts remote from stations, HST pedestrian bridges and overpasses should be visually compatible with the context. In rural areas they should complement the existing terrain and vegetation.

##### Image

Pedestrian bridges and overpasses can be functional or iconic in the same sense as HST rail and highway bridges and overpasses. Relatively light loads often allow innovation and creative engineering.

##### Safety

Pedestrian bridges and overpasses over railways or highways shall be partially or totally enclosed to prevent objects from falling onto the tracks or OCS system.

##### Coordination

As locations of HST pedestrian bridges and overpasses are identified, local planning officials shall be consulted to integrate the site details with specific area plans, urban design plans, related property development, bike routes, etc.





Functional: Jack London Square, Oakland, CA



Functional: Route 9, MTA New York



Iconic: Sundial Bridge, Redding, CA



Iconic: Schröder Bridge, Walnut Creek, CA

### Pedestrian Bridges and Overpasses: Functional and Iconic

## 3.3 TUNNEL PORTALS AND VENTILATION STRUCTURES

### 3.3.1 General

Tunnel portals will significantly contribute to the system-wide visual image of the CHSTS. Portal aesthetic and technical solutions shall be developed concurrently. Unlike automobile tunnels, high-speed rail portals will not be perceived by passengers. Nevertheless, portals will be visible from multiple vantage points; in remote mountainous areas and agricultural lands as well as from developed urban settings. The portal context will largely determine whether each portal will be blended into the local environment or distinguished from it through the use of distinctive architectural features.

This section describes aesthetic guidelines and concerns specific to tunnel portals and tunnel ventilation structures. Detailed information describing technical design elements required for tunnel portals are found in Technical Memorandum 2.4.6, HST Tunnel Portal Facilities.

### 3.3.2 Portal Components

Components of tunnel portals requiring aesthetic consideration include:

- Noise Mitigation Hoods:** Hoods may be necessary at portals to mitigate noise radiating out from the tunnels as trains enter and exit, and to protect trains and facilities from debris falling from adjacent slopes. These arching concrete tunnel extensions will vary in



length and may flare out at the portal. Hoods may be exposed or backfilled and landscaped. The primary aesthetic medium will be the surrounding landscaping; however the exposed concrete may be distinctively detailed.

- B. Ventilation Structures: Required for longer tunnels, ventilation structures over the tunnel openings could be two or three stories in height and may include traction power, facilities power, telecommunications and signaling, maintenance and fire protection in addition to ventilation equipment space.
- C. Traction Power Facilities (freestanding): Generally located remotely from tunnels. The degree of aesthetic treatment will depend upon the location of the facilities, i.e., high or low visibility.



Korea HSR Tunnel Portal

#### Portal Components

##### 3.3.3 Aesthetic Principles for Portal Design

###### Configuration

Depending on the tunnel configuration selected, portals may be configured for either two parallel single track tunnels or a single, larger diameter, dual-track tunnel. The exposed portal face may be vertical or sloping to follow the natural topography as much as forty-five degrees, depending on site conditions and train speed.



High Speed, Sloping Face, Korea HSR



Low Speed, Vertical Face, Japan

###### Portal Face Slopes



### Interfaces

Where HST aerial structures or trainway berms transition into tunnel portals, the transition shall be as natural and seamless as possible, utilizing coordinated and contextually appropriate materials, textures and detailing of exposed surfaces.

### Drainage


Slopes above tunnel portals require storm water diverters to protect the portal opening and trainway facilities. Drainage channels shall be integrated into the portal design.

### Landscaping

Portal design shall strive to minimize disturbance to existing slopes. Cut slopes and native vegetation surrounding portals should be restored to a natural state with smooth, natural transitions. Hood extensions may be backfilled and replanted with native species. Once established, landscaping shall not require irrigation or maintenance except in urban areas where a portal interrupts existing landscaping; relocated or restored plant materials shall be used to create a seamless interface with the existing site area.



Low Maintenance: German HSR Portal



Natural Vegetation: French Highway Portal

### **Portal Landscaping**

#### Finish Materials

Tunnel portals will likely be constructed with cast in place concrete. Concrete finish may be integrally colored to blend into the surrounding environment. Large concrete surfaces at vertical portal walls or ventilation structures may be articulated with integral color or applied texture to establish an appropriate relationship between manmade forms and the existing natural landscape.



Spain HSR



Spain HSR

### **Applied Portal Finish**



### Urban Portals

Where trainways enter trenches visible within an urban environment, consideration may be given to dramatic architectural expression at the portal, in accordance with HST aesthetic principles. As a portal enters a trench, the cover may be landscaped to create a park-like setting. Highway tunnel portals found in urban settings as shown below exemplify urban portal types.



Trench Portal with Landscaping



Architectural Portal

### **Urban Portals**

#### Artwork

The vertical face of a tunnel portal may provide an excellent opportunity for large-scale artwork, signage or bas-relief sculpture. As a tunnel portal will not be visible to the HST riders, this is a practical approach only where the portal will be frequently viewed from the surrounding area.



Murals



Graphics

### **Portal Artwork**

#### **3.3.4 Aesthetic Principles for Ventilation Buildings**

##### Ventilation Buildings

Portions of tunnel ventilation structures may be exposed as much as thirty feet above the tunnel or surrounding grade. These structures require aesthetic attention not unlike other smaller HST facilities. Where a ventilation building is freestanding, its architectural expression shall recognize the site context, system image and presence within the environment.





Hong Kong MTR



Taiwan HSR

### Ventilation Structures

#### Integration of Portal and Ventilation Shafts

Ventilation structures, where integrated into tunnel portals, may be appropriate locations for unique aesthetic/architectural expression. Where portal and ventilation shafts are in close proximity, construction, finish materials and detailing shall be harmonized.

## 3.4 RETAINING WALLS

### 3.4.1 General

This section outlines aesthetic guidelines for design of retaining walls, noise barriers and collision intrusion protection barriers. Although retaining walls are primarily structural elements, their significant height and breadth relative to ground level necessitate aesthetic attention. Retaining walls will contribute significantly to the visual character of HST facilities and infrastructure. Careful consideration of wall height and surfaces treatments will incorporate walls favorably into surrounding landscape.

### 3.4.2 Aesthetic Principles for Retaining Walls

#### Site and Context Factors

The built environment and the natural environment as they exist within and around retaining walls will influence retaining wall aesthetic design. Walls should be coordinated with adjacent HST bridges and overpasses, HST aerial structures or other structures and should blend with surrounding landscape. Railings, guardrails and parapets should be coordinated with those on surrounding HST bridges, overpasses, aerial structures or existing walls to create a harmony of materials while making a distinctive aesthetic statement for the high-speed train system. Retaining walls should express continuity of design within specific regions.

#### Consistency

Retaining wall design elements within a particular site area or a regional corridor should convey a consistent aesthetic character. Wall design elements for which consistency is important include exposed concrete finish, texture, color, top of wall detailing and railings.

#### Height

Although retaining wall heights are often no more than a few feet above adjacent grade, higher walls may present a highly visible feature upon the landscape. As such, walls and retained fill shall be kept as low as possible. Effective wall height can be reduced by different means, including:

- Terracing
- Emphasizing horizontal lines rather than vertical
- Raising or varying top of grade at the base of wall to reduce apparent grade differential
- Adding plantings at the base and the tops of walls.
- Sloping tops of walls gradually or in small increments as the topography changes
- Using metal railings on top of walls (where required) in lieu of raising retaining walls





**Effective Reduction of Apparent Wall Height**

### 3.4.3 Wall Treatment

#### Structural Materials

Depending on the height, retaining or noise walls may be reinforced cast-in-place concrete, shotcrete, or soil nailed. Reinforced masonry may be cost effective on low to mid-height walls. Masonry options include split face units of many sizes. Mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) walls may be used where structurally appropriate and as approved by the Authority. Collision intrusion protection barriers shall be reinforced concrete.

#### Finish Materials

- Exposed cast-in-place concrete, plain or stamped using various types of formwork
- Concrete formliners
- Gunite/shotcrete
- Masonry veneers of brick, tile, or under special conditions, terra cotta
- Stone veneer
- Plantings to cover masonry or rough concrete
- Wall caps: precast concrete or stone
- Railings: stainless steel in high exposure, high moisture areas, otherwise galvanized and painted steel.



Terraced Stone Veneer



Terraced Plantings

#### **Retaining Wall Finish Materials**



### Surface Design Enhancements

General: Surface finishes will significantly influence the way a retaining wall is perceived. Some walls will be utilitarian and will not justify surface design enhancements. However walls with a significant visual presence justify a higher level of finish quality. Surface treatments for retaining walls can be integral or applied, however integral finish is preferred to minimize maintenance.

Planting: Integration of vegetation on top of, in front of, or attached to a retaining wall serves to soften the wall and provides visual relief.

Color: Wall color shall be coordinated with surrounding built and natural features. Color can be integral with the structural material to reduce maintenance.



### **Retaining Wall Finishes**

Texture/Pattern: Patterns and reveals use light and shadow to enhance wall surfaces. When considering a reveal or joint pattern, the length and height of the wall must first be considered. A long continuous wall may be visually shortened by adding a regular vertical pattern. A horizontal pattern tends to accentuate the length of the wall while reducing the perceived height. Patterned, rusticated concrete provides good visual interest, Bas-relief patterns may be considered to express a local theme or artistic sensibilities.



**MSE Mechanically Stabilized Earth wall patterns**



### 3.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

#### 3.5.1 Purpose

This section identifies Authority and local agency roles and responsibilities related to aesthetic design of non-station structures, mitigation of visual impacts and allocation of capital and maintenance costs. The Authority may work with local agencies to define more specific roles and responsibilities depending on project needs and local circumstances.

#### 3.5.2 Collaboration

The Authority seeks to collaborate with communities on aesthetic design and mitigation of visual resource impacts per CEQA and NEPA. The Authority is committed to achieving high quality design within project budget and funding. Local agencies along HST corridors can benefit by collaborating with the Authority early in the project delivery process; aesthetic design issues can be identified and creative, context responsive solutions that contribute to the unique character of communities can be incorporated into project design. A benefit to the Authority is establishing an efficient project delivery process that brings certainty to resolving aesthetic and visual resource impact issues with local agencies.

#### 3.5.3 Aesthetic Design of Non-station Structures

##### Authority

At the Authority's discretion, the Contractor can contact local agencies to provide input on aesthetic design of non-station structures. The Contractor's role is to manage an efficient collaboration to solicit input, focus on aesthetic design, and work toward aesthetic final design solutions that achieve procurement objectives. Procurement objectives guide the way by which the scope of work for design/build proposals will be defined. For aesthetic design, procurement objectives include: 1) clarifying project scope to meet environmental and community commitments, 2) providing flexibility to enable innovative design, engineering and construction solutions (the synergistic relationship of designer and builder inherent in design/build to deliver the HST project on budget and schedule is beneficial to the Authority) 3) identifying quality of design and performance requirements so design/build contractors can compete to meet or exceed requirements, and 4) minimizing project risk and cost uncertainty by defining roles, responsibilities and schedule commitments with local agencies on project design and design review.

##### Local Agencies

Local agencies can request to work with the Authority to review aesthetic design per the roles and responsibilities of this section. Local agencies that are contributing funding or right-of-way, planning for HST station area development or use of air rights will be Authority priorities for local engagement on aesthetic design review.

The Authority expects local agencies to constructively engage with the Authority. Constructive engagement means participation of elected officials, local agency staff and representative stakeholders to identify aesthetic design outcomes and solutions that are locally acceptable, reasonable, within project costs and consistent with locally adopted plans. Local agency leadership is to be engaged and supportive of the process for the Authority to commit time and resources. Local agency staff is to be empowered to represent the community's position and make constructive recommendations that are supported by community leadership.

##### Process

The Authority will assess where to collaborate on aesthetic design based on local agency participation in the HST project. The Authority will identify structural elements warranting local agency input and review and acceptance of aesthetic design and visual mitigations. The Authority and local agency will work together to establish a technical working group to conduct the work, select stakeholders, plan a progressive sequence of stakeholder discussion and input, and define shared process outcomes. Interviewing local and regional opinion leaders is recommended to gauge attitudes, select stakeholders that represent a balance of key interests, establish the



process and identify outcomes. Local agencies and the Authority will mutually agree upon a schedule consistent with statewide program funding and schedule.

A baseline process to develop the aesthetic design content may include the following steps: 1) begin with the EIR/EIS visual impact assessment and recommended mitigations, 2) validate the location of key viewpoints and aesthetic elements that characterize the landscape unit(s), 3) identify shared aesthetic design issues, opportunities and outcomes, 4) explore context responsive aesthetic design solutions, using the guidelines as a design resource, and 5) select mutually agreed-upon and documented aesthetic design guidance and visual impact mitigations.

The Authority may undertake these steps independently with local agency input on the final recommendations, collaborate with the local agency to provide input on each step, or develop a unique collaborative process with the local agency, depending on the Authority and local agency assessment of the most effective approach.

#### Output

At minimum, the output of an aesthetic design process should identify and quantify, by allowance or quantity, aesthetic and visual mitigations for preliminary cost estimating. The Authority will need to evaluate whether aesthetic design and visual mitigations are within project cost guidelines.

The output can be a table listing mitigation design concepts based on these guidelines with a map of the extent of application, advancing preliminary engineering documentation, or documentation of context specific aesthetic design guidance.

The output of this process will be used as input to the design/build procurement process to ensure that Authority and local agreements on aesthetic design and visual mitigations are implemented.

### **3.5.4 Environmental Process**

#### Authority

The Authority can collaborate with local agencies during the environmental process to solicit input on aesthetic design and visual resource mitigations, consistent with CEQA and NEPA. The Authority will need to evaluate, with consultation with local agencies as appropriate, how to organize and conduct local agency input to the environmental analysis. Content and process should be reasonable, fair and equitable for both the Authority and local agencies. A benefit of incorporating aesthetic design guidance into the EIR/EIS is the opportunity for public comment on the mitigations, furthering environmental process transparency.

Aesthetic design guidance can be incorporated in the environmental documents as part of the project description, 15% preliminary design, and/or as visual mitigation. These guidelines can be incorporated by reference, either in whole or in part, into the EIR/EIS documentation for individual project sections for certification by the Authority and the Federal Railroad Administration.

#### Local Agencies

Local agencies are responsible to review and provide comment per CEQA and NEPA on visual mitigations consistent with local context, standards and plans. It is the Authority's expectation that by working collaboratively with a local agency on aesthetic design guidance, that the local agency will accept that the process meets CEQA and NEPA requirements for stakeholder engagement with development of visual mitigations.

### **3.5.5 Design Build Procurement**

#### Authority

The Authority will initiate an aesthetic design review process with local agencies after the certification of the environmental documents as an input to design/build procurement. This benefits the Authority by fostering greater clarity of the scope of work and reducing risk of changes to the design/build contract time and scope by working with local agencies to address aesthetic design prior to the design/build contract. The Authority will be responsible for



developing aesthetic design guidance that will be consistent with the certified environmental documents.

#### Local Agencies

Local agencies are responsible for focusing on aesthetic design guidance that implements the certified environmental documents. Local agencies will assist in managing stakeholder engagement to identify aesthetic design guidance that provides a range of directions to the design.builder, rather than defining a specific solution.

#### **3.5.6 Aesthetic Design Review During Design/Build**

##### Authority

After the Contractor contracts with the Authority, the Contractor will be responsible for implementing aesthetic design and visual resource mitigations as specified in the design/build contract. The Authority will be responsible for oversight of the design/build contract to ensure that design work is in conformance with the Authority contract and procurement requirements.

The design.builder working with the Authority needs to engage local agencies in aesthetic design review which may include: 1) design of project mitigations, 2) coordination of project design to implement station area planning, use air-rights or design local project interfaces such as rebuilding grade-separations, 3) design details developed for the procurement such as landscape, lighting or public art as mitigation, 4) proposals for innovative solutions not previously considered, and/or 5) proposing changes to the project and/or project mitigations.

The Authority can have the design.builder conduct aesthetic design review with local agencies to view and comment on HST infrastructure concept plans and final design as needed to provide local agencies with assurance that project aesthetics are consistent with local expectations for aesthetic design and visual mitigation. The Authority will work with local agencies to set time frames for aesthetic design review consistent with the design/build contract objectives.

##### Local Agencies

Local agency responsibilities will vary depending on the type of coordination, input and design review needed based on local participation in the HST project. Baseline responsibilities include: 1) timely review and input to design/build documents per the design/build contract schedule, 2) respecting Authority project budget and funding, 3) reasonable feedback that contributes to feasible solutions.

#### **3.5.7 Capital Costs and Maintenance**

##### Capital Costs

In general, the Authority pays for the capital costs of the project, environmental mitigations, and right-of-way acquisition costs. Local agencies are to pay fair share capital costs for improvements or betterments not included in the scope of the HST project or project mitigations as presented in Table 1.

##### Maintenance

In general, the responsibility for the facility maintenance and the cost of maintenance belongs to the party who owns the facility, as summarized in Table 1. In cases where the Authority or local agency can provide maintenance on behalf of the other, resulting in more efficient use of resources, the Authority and local agencies can enter a maintenance agreement.



**Table 1: Capital and Maintenance Responsibilities: Non-Station Structures**

| Category                              | AUTHORITY   |   | LOCAL JURISDICTION  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
|                                       | <i>Capital Cost</i>   | <i>Ownership and Maintenance</i>  | <i>Capital Cost</i>   | <i>Ownership and Maintenance</i>   |
| <b>Structures</b>                     | 100%<br><br>All structures comprising HST facilities, including HST aerial structures, HST bridges and overpasses, trenches, sound walls, retaining walls and barriers. | 100%  | 0%  | 0%   |
| <b>Grade separations and roadways</b> | 100%<br><br>Project grade separations, retaining walls, berms, pavement, pavement markings, bike lanes, curbs, sidewalks and guardrails.                                | 100%<br><br>Grade separation substructure and super structures, railway approaches and infrastructure.                  | 0%<br><br>Or fair share proportion of expansion of existing roadway capacity. | 0%<br><br>Roadways, roadway approaches and grade separation berms or retaining walls including pedestrian and bicycle facilities |
| <b>Landscape</b>                      | 100%<br><br>All project landscaping, irrigation and hardscape   | 100%<br><br>and up to first three years until landscaping is established for landscape located outside HST right-of-way | 0%  | 100%<br><br>After landscape established outside HST right-of-way, including irrigation, planting and hardscape                   |
| <b>Lighting</b>                       | 100%<br><br>All functional and safety lighting for Authority facilities   | 100%<br><br>All functional and safety lighting for Authority facilities within HST right-of-way                         | 0%  | 100%<br><br>City lighting in city right-of-way   |



| <b>Category</b>                                | <b>AUTHORITY</b>   |   | <b>LOCAL JURISDICTION</b>  |   |
|--|--|---|--|---|
|  | <b>Capital Cost</b>  | <b>Ownership and Maintenance</b>  | <b>Capital Cost</b>  | <b>Ownership and Maintenance</b>  |
| <b>Public Art<sup>1</sup></b>                  | 100%<br>For public art as visual impact mitigation per budget to be established by Authority | 0%  | 0%<br>or fair share proportion for public art costs in addition to what is required for mitigation | 100%<br>For all public art, including art attached to HST infrastructure                        |
| <b>Facilities using air rights<sup>2</sup></b> | 100%<br>All facilities owned and operated by Authority.                                      | 100%<br>All facilities owned and operated by Authority.   | 100%<br>All facilities developed publicly or privately per air rights agreement with Authority.    | 100%<br>All facilities developed publicly or privately per air rights agreement with Authority. |
| <b>Utilities</b>                               | 100%<br>Authority facilities.  | 100%<br>Authority owned facilities.   | 0% or fair share proportion of any expansion of existing capacity.                                 | 100%<br>of city owned facilities.   |
| <b>Signage</b>                                 | 100%<br>Authority signs  | 100%<br>Authority signs except signage for others located in the HST right-of-way maintained by others through encroachment agreements. | 0%<br>of city signage.   | 100%<br>of city signage per encroachment agreement.   |

<sup>1</sup> The Authority is developing a policy regarding Public Art to be consistent with existing state and federal policies. The Authority's capital cost for public art for HST stations is to pay for cost to integrate public art into HST station design, with cost for the artwork itself and maintenance to be funded in fair share proportion by the City and others as part of a value capture program for station area development. The Authority's capital cost for public art for non-station structures is to cover the cost of public art as visual mitigation, with any additional public art costs to be paid for by the local agency. The Authority's policies for public art will address funding public art as mitigation.

<sup>2</sup> The Authority has developed a policy regarding use of air space above and below HST facilities, including developing restrictions related to HST operations, access, maintenance and security. Refer to Program Management Team Memorandum, "Access Control for High-Speed Rail Right-of-Way and Facilities", dated June 28, 2013. The Authority supports locating active uses under aerial structures in urban areas to create safe, secure environments and reduce the potential for graffiti.

